K

Immorality of Prophane Swearing Demonstrated;

In a New METHOD:

AND

Without the Aid of REVELATION.

DEDICATED

To Modern DEISTS and CHRISTIANS.

BY

A LOVER of his COUNTRY.

LONDON:

for M. COOPER, at the Globe in Pater-

[Price Four - Pence.]

to the same of the same of the grant

X

LONG V.

T 8'

WELL OF THE COUNTRY.

THE

IMMORALITY

O F

PROPHANE SWEARING Demonstrated.

The defign of the argument.

is to shew, that Oaths in common conversation are immomon conversation are immoral.—In this performance, no very
particular notice will be taken of those
idle excrescences of language, which
regard Oaths, or expressions in the
form of Oaths, made by any other being or thing besides a Supreme Being.
When these are considered formally,
they are oaths which express Idolatry:
because, no being but God is the pro-

per object of an appeal, that is to give force to an affirmation or denial. It is therefore idolatrous to swear by my Lady, by the Mass, by the Heavens, by my Stars, by George, or the like. These forms of expression are vain or impious; as they either can have no meaning, or a bad one. If they have no meaning, those who use them do abuse Speech, which was given to man, in order to express reason, truth, just-sentiment! And if they have any meaning, they are idolatrous, as they have the form of an Oath .- With these I shall have no particular or direct concern; because, if the reasoning will but convince of the true nature and folemnity of an Oath, I am opinion, men will be prevailed upon to purge their language from all filth, froth, and vanity. But if it does not convince of the folemnity of an Oath, and the unfitness of its being taken in common conversation, I despair of any remedy from

74

from it, in the case of petty, trifling, base forms of swearing.

Brevity is studied in the argument, for the fake of its being the more spread in Society; or, the more univerfally read : for there are supposed to be but few common swearers, who will take much pains for their own conviction.

It has nothing of party in it; and fo may be read without difgust, by any fort of men, who chuse to examine

I would also observe, as to the profecution of the argument, that those trutbs which are generally known and acknowledged, are laid down as first principles, without referring to historical testimony: It being supposed, that no man of fense would require such testimony in support of this affertion, namely, that men in Spain or in France, have appetites and paffions fimilar to men in Great-Britain.

B 2

4 Profane Swearing Immoral.

In a word, the argument is conducted by a train of dependent propositions; supported and explained without any sophistry, or intention to deceive. And may be considered as giving the reason of the Statute-law, enacted this Session of Parliament, against prophane Swearing.

The method in which the argument lies, is, as follows

HUMAN Society cannot subsist without laws.

Civil laws must have respect to men's words, as well as actions.

Laws cannot operate without a proper executive power.

The executive-power must have a method of process that will secure its authority; and that is, by Oath.

The true nature and defign of an Oath.

Not to be taken, but in such circumstances as will ascertain the solemnity of it. The Prophane Swearing Immoral.

The reason of this, is, its giving the utmost energy to human laws.

Oaths cannot be lawful, but when legally demanded; or, when some special and important Service to others is intended by them.

Prophane Oaths destroy the propriety of Speech; especially, as they convey very dishonourable notions of God!

They can add no weight to a man's authority, or influence.

Prophane Swearing is an impeachment of a man's bonefty.

ł

n

-C

In proportion to the quantity of it, in any Country, may be estimated the irregularity of the buman passions in that Country.

Prophane Oaths are direct immoralities, as they do manifest injury to others.

No provocation can therefore justify Prophane Swearing.

Human Society cannot subsist with-

E

ti

la

n

p

te

e

H UMAN Society cannot subsist, nor its welfare be secured without law, order, government.—for, from mens passions becoming turbulent and outragious, disorder and consusion would soon take place, were it not for restraining laws, with their fanctions and penalties. And hence it has been, that those who have shewn a reverence of law, as framed and enacted for public order, and utility, have been justly esteemed good members of civil Society; and have deserved the protection and approbation of Government.

Civil laws must bave respect to mens words, as well as actions.

As civil laws have respect to the pessions of men, and are designed to curb and restrain them; so they must take cognizance of the manner in which the passions express themselves.

But

But words, or human speech is one way, and usually the first way, of expressing the passions; and by which men may in reality, provoke and injure one another, as by their actions: therefore it is that civil laws have took cognizance of mens words.

Laws cannot operate without &

Government supposeth order; what therefore hath been found necessary to preserve civil order, must be esteemed sacred among men. But the execution of law, is as necessary as the law itself; because without it, law has no manner of force or esticacy. There must then be certain persons appointed by the Legislative, or regal power to put in execution such laws as are enacted; which cannot be the Province of every man, or of any but of those who are thus appointed; because this would introduce the utmost confusion.

The executive power must have a method of process, that will secure its Authority, and that is, by OATH.

If certain magistrates are appointed to put the Laws in excution, they must have some rules of judicial process: such, whereby they may examine into any complaints or grievances; in order that they may determine legally about the demerit of the injurious; and may give Sentence accordingly. In doing this, the reason of the law that punisheth, must appear; as well as the Authority of the Magistrate, who pronounceth Sentence.

But inasmuch as Magistracy, has not been qualified to look into the hearts of men; Civil Laws have generally provided, as a remedy of this imperfection, that appeals should be made by the Witness, in giving judicial testimony, to a supreme, invincible being. And have determined the strength of evidence

Prophane Swearing Immoral. 9 evidence to lie in such appeals. This, I apprehend, gives us the proper origin and foundation of Oaths.

The true nature and design of an Oath.

An Oath is a judicial thing; defigned for the use and service of civil Society, in determinations about life or property, in any disputable case, or when the laws of one's Country demand it. Or else, in giving Security to the State, or civil Magistrate of our fealty and obedience.—And it is a solemn appeal to God, as a competent judge of the Sincerity of him who sweareth; and supposeth the Being he sweareth by, to be the avenger of falsebood. So that an Oath gives a religious aid to human laws and good government.

An

of medianison

by

nft

S:

ito

ler

out

ng

h-

<u>lu-</u>

0-

ot

rts

lly

by

ig.

In the administration of Oaths, all good governments have supposed, that men who swear, do believe in the being they swear by; and have a supreme reverence of that being; otherwise, it will have no more energy than a bare affirmation or denial. And moreover, some particular forms have usually been prescribed, that have been thought most suitable to preserve the awful solemnity of an Oath, as British-Christians, kis the Gospels.

The reason of this, is, its giving the utmost energy to human laws.

An Oath is allowed, by the common consent of all ages and nations, to be more than a bare affirmation or denial of a thing. For tho' truth is Sacred when expressed in the common forms of Speech, yet there is an awfulness and solemnity given to a declaration, when when made in the form of a judicial appeal to the great God! confidered as the judge of the heart, and openly called upon to be the avenger of false-hood.—An Oath is therefore a form of Speech that is peculiarly religious and facred: which peculiarity appears, from its giving the utmost energy and force to human laws, in which others are concerned, and in some particular and very important sense.

Oaths cannot be lawful, but when legally demanded; or when some special and important Service to others is intended by them.

t

1

An Oath can never be fitly taken, but when legally demanded, or when the good of others is to be ferved by it: forasmuch as it would be to prophane and destroy the religion or the sacredness of an Oath, to swear on any other occafion. Men must not swear in common conversation, because there is nothing more certain than that the doing so

12 Profune Swearing Immoral.

implies a want of reverence of the being, which men swear by. But a reverence of God, is the only univerfal principle that can fecure the mind of man from the force of temptation, in every possible circumstance. Nothing fhort of this can keep him boneft, medest and patient, under every temptation or provocation. But inafmuch as bonesty, modesty and patience are indispensably requisite to the peace, welfare, and good order of Society; whatever will abate or weaken that principle on which these virtues support, must be vitious and impious. Since therefore prophane swearing implies a want of reverence of God, it must be an unlawful, a wicked practice, in the fense of all good governments, as well as in the reason and nature of the thing itself. often Outh, to house on any other occur-

ton from nolf is

me meatres, because there is making

zeitrini.

f

ñ

g

h

ė,

;

at

)-

ı,

g

d,

.

1-

2-

Prophane Oaths destroy the propriety of speech: especially, as they convey very dishonourable notions of God.

There is a native simplicity and purity of speech which should be preserved, and the dignity of human nature demands it. Man is made for truth; and he should shew that he is so, by the constant veneration he has for it, in his common conversation. He is to confider the claim that his fellow-creatures all have to truth, whenever he affirms or denies. So that he is not to make his common conversation to refemble the language of a judicialcourt, where evidence is not to be allowed valid, but upon oath. This is manifeftly to pervert the defign of Speech, and to destroy its purity and simplicity; and furtly has the utmost impropriety in it. arta

Befides, prophane Oaths ordinarily fupply the want of fense; and are defigned

14 Prophane Swearing Immoral.

figned to give a grace to the most hateful sentiment. So that one might ask the prophane swearer, whether he would not be ashamed to publish his conversation from the press? whether he would not blush at his own stupidity, and abhor himself for his own

2

0

tl

ŋ

6

al

CO

th

W

T

W

W

impiety?

What if a man should frequently intermix in his expressions the name of John Styles; and Swear by this name to every thing, or any thing he faid? would it not be natural to ask him, what he meant? why he called upon John Styles so often to be his witness? whether John was fuch a familiar genius to him, as to be the pleafing witness of ever idle, non-sensical, impure, unjust, and vile declaration? what a notion should we have of John Styles? we should think him as filly and stupid a being, as he who fwore by him. Just so it is with the prophane man who fwears by his maker !-- Oaths therefore destroy the propriety of fpeech outer.

freech, when used in common conversation, as they convey unjust and dishonourable ideas of God! which is contrary to the very design of speech.

Prophane swearing can add no weight to a man's Authority, or Influence.

It is a very general mistake made among men, both by fea and land, that prophane Oaths add to the Authority of him, who has the command over, or the direction of others. So that fome have imagined oaths necessary in the command of Soldiers and Sailors. But this is a very false opinion, because the persons commanded are usually as free with their oaths, as those who command. Consequently, oaths can be no marks of Authority; fince the Officer thus puts his language upon a level with the vileft of the men under him. The above imagination is therefore as wild as that of a Carman, or Drayman, who will tell you, that his horses would

£

16 Prophane Swearing Immoral.

would not draw fo well, if he was not to fivear at, and damn them !- And indeed to suppose that Oaths and Curses are requifite to enforce authority over mankind, has thuch the fame reason in it, So that if men are fo far debased, it must be an irrational, a base compliance in any, to affront his maker! to violate the laws of civil fociety! in order, to give weight to his own credit or authority among those, who are to the lowest degree brutalized .- Whereas, if Superiors would but be honest, and discover truth in all their words; every just resentment would be, at least, as emphatical in the ears of the hardened flave, or the greatest drudge, only by the vigor of the cadence, or pronunciation of the voice. - And I doubt not but that there are some instances, both in the army and fleet, where the Authority, is perhaps not less, but greater, though Oaths are not in use to give force to the command. - This evidently would be

a

t

8

n

fv

th

ad

for

cor

tha

out

ack

Prophane Swearing Immoral. be necessary to Oaths having greater weight in the mouth of an officer than his affirmation, &c. namely, that none of his common or private men, under him, were allowed any imitation of him; for, whilft Oaths are common, they cannot add the least weight to authority.-Moreover, the fense of the civil Laws, is, that prophane Oaths are impieties, and not fit to give any force to human authority; because the Magistrate is esteemed much more criminal than the common people, if he swears prophanely. Oaths therefore in the sense of Law, do diminish, but can add no weight to personal authority.

8

ė

ıt

11

at

in

or

of

nat

he

ty,

gh

nld

be

Prophane fwearing is an impeachment of a man's honesty.

No possible reason can be assigned for the use of an Oath by any man, in common conversation, if he knows that he has a just claim to credit without it. But swearing is an explicite acknowledgment, that his bare affirma-

) tion

tion or denial was thought by him infufficient; he durst not venture the force of his language without swearing; his affirmation or denial had loft its claim to credit. This is the most natural interpretation of an Oath in common speech. But if the man's word is not to be relied upon, an Oath will not give him any more credit: On the contrary, it must greatly lessen the reputation of his integrity; as it demonstrates his want of piety: for no man's bonefly can be relied upon, who shews an open irreverence of his Maker; -- so that a want of piety, or fwearing prophanely, is an impeachment of a man's bonefty. And this argument will support itself on the reason of the thing; for if a man shouldplead that he has an abhorrence of doing any wrong, in his dealings, to any man; yet, if he himself was continually cheating the King of bis Customs, he would forfeit his claim to the character of an honest man: much more is be unworthy the charafter,

h

h

in

no

th

al

ho

Prophane Swearing Immoral. racter, who renders not what is due to his Maker. It follows, by a parity of reason, that a man, whose conscience will fuffer him to take unlawful freedoms with that being, whom he owns as his creator; will have nothing, as a principle, that can hinder his making very free with the rights and claims of his fellow-creatures; i. e. when he can do it without observance, and with fecurity to his reputation. This is but to conclude from the greater to the less; which is allowed to be good reafoning in all kinds of moral argument.-And before any man pretends to claim the character of an bonest man, though he fwears prophanely; let him acquit himself of all unjust freedoms with men, in speech as well as actions .- Is there not too much truth in the observation, that be who swears prophanely, will lie alfo? And a liar cannot possibly be an honest man.

e

ts

1-

1-

15

ot

7-

2-

CŞ

fy

en

y,

ły.

elf

2

-10

al-

elf

of

im n: ba-

D 2

In

In proportion, then, to the quantity of prophane swearing, in any Country, may be estimated the irregularity of the human passions in that Country.

From the premises, Oaths are proved to be unlawful and impious, when used in common conversation. They must then be expressive of irregularity in the human passions: because Speech is the index of the heart, or mind of man. If therefore there be an irregularity in Speech, the heart, the feat of the passions must be irregular. Oaths therefore, in ordinary discourse, will discover either the pride, the vanity, the anger and rage, or the fury of some other pas-And what can be thought of the man who makes God his equal, his familiar, by bringing him in as a witness to his luft, his anger, his folly? when if he had any just no. tions of God, he would be convinced, that

Prophane Swearing Immoral. that he abhors and will punish him for all those vile things he calls upon him to witness. And this ignorance of God, will imply an ignorance of himfelf; and be an undeniable evidence of his neglect of his own Spirit: and consequently, of the irregularity of his passions. It will therefore follow, by a fair deduction, that the quantity of moral evil of one kind or another, or the quantity of irregularity in the human passions, may be estimated by the quantity of prophane swearing in any country; for tho' every man who prophanely fwears, is not equally vitious, or universally so; yet the irregularity of his passions, in some fort, will bear a proportion.

)

t

S

n

t

Prophane Oaths are direct Immoralities, as they do manifest Injury to others.

He who swears in common conversation, breaks the peace of our Sovereign Lord the King; by disturbing the quiet

quiet, and by ruffling the Spirits of his Fellow-Subjects; even of all fuch, who have a reverence of God's Name, and dare not take it in vain. But no man, however exalted his station, has a right to rob another of his peace and quiet, any more than he has a right to invade his Property of any other kind. It will not be of any avail, that the prophane Swearer should plead, that he delivers his Oaths without the least uneasy emotion.-And that he has no intention to break the peace, or do hurt to any; for it is certain, that he is guilty ;---ay, and will be fo, as long as there are Men found in Society, who are rational, who follow Nature, who are modest, who have good Sense, or who understand the first relation they have to God. The prophane Swearer will therefore be chargeable with vile, immoral Conduct, as he wantonly commits an outrage on the peace of his Neighbour; filling his Mind with horror!

horror! throwing him into a tremor! And this the modest, pious Person cannot any ways help, whilft he retains a reverence of his Maker. It will therefore be necessary, that the prophane Swearer be first well affored, that no one, in his hearing, will be offended at him, before he ventures to pour out his Oaths. If he does not take this Care, he is guilty of breaking the peace of his Sovereign, by an affault committed upon the minds and bodily constitution of others. Let any one, who understands human nature, confider how the animal frame may be, and frequently is impressed by any thing that presents the mind with an horrible Idea! and he must confess that this will be the effect of fwearing prophanely, upon great numbers; consequently, it is a direct Immorality in any to fwear prophanely.

24 Prophane Swearing Immoral.

No provocation can therefore vindicate or justify prophane Swearing.

If an Oath be used in conversation to give spirit and vigor to a resentment, it is impious and immoral; because it will then imply fury and vengeance: But in the fense of all good Governments, no man is to be his own evenger. He must make his Complaints known, if injur'd, by an appeal to the Laws of his Country. And if the provocations are fuch, that those Laws do not take cognizance of them, he must break their force, by fincerely asking his Maker to forgive the offender. And upon this foundation only can his appeal lie to the God of Heaven! who will avenge the Injuries done him upon the obstinate offender. But, by no means, must any man dare to appeal to God, to direct his Vengeance, even on his enemy; fince all Men were defign'd for bappiness as well as himfelf :

himself: and therefore no Oath must ever be used, in common conversation, upon any provocation, to give Vigor and Force to human Resentment of any injury that is done us. This is the voice of Reason; this is the voice of the God of Nature. So that a Reservation of this Vice, of prophane swearing, will contribute much to the honour and prosperity of Britons.

Thus have I demonstrated the Immorality of prophane Oaths; and have done it, in a Manner and Method, which, it is hoped, cannot offend the Taste of the most delicate: and yet the unpolite, the religious, may have the satisfaction of seeing their Conduct vindicated, without any artillery borrowed from Revelation.

FINIS.

much: and therefore no Cataman, is being any and control of the give of the control of the give of the control of the control

Thus, have I demondrated the image of the said laye stone is in a Manner and Addison that in a Manner and Addison which, it is imped that the colored the north delication of the interview of techniques of the control of techniques only are the followed the interview of the inte

J. L. W. L. S.

eren in men per in and in